

SOUTH AFRICAN COUNCIL FOR THE LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURAL PROFESSION

www:saclap.org.za

registrar@saclap.org.za

QUARTERLY REPORT

No. 3 from October to December 2016

FOR THE SOUTH AFRICAN COUNCIL FOR THE LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURAL PROFESSION (SACLAP)

13 January 2017

Prepared by: Mrs Bernadette Vollmer - Registrar

CBE Quarterly Report No. 3 - SACLAP: October - December 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	3
2.	Operations	3
3.	Governance	3
4.	Safety, Health and Environment (SHE) (annually on the fourth quarter) i.e. as at the 31 March 2017	
4.1.	Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation	
4.2.	Policy outcomes	
4.3.	Strategic issues and challenges	
5.	International recognition of professions (annually on the fourth quarter) i.e. as at the 3 March 2017	
5.1.	Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation	
5.2.	Policy outcomes	
5.3.	Strategic issues and challenges	5
<u> </u>	As an ditation (annually, on the fourth quantar) as at as at 24 March 2047	-
6. 6.1.	Accreditation (annually on the fourth quarter) – as at as at 31 March 2017 Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation	
6.2.	Policy outcomes (list institutions, qualifications and status)	
6.3.	Strategic issues and challenges (programme/qualification per institution and accreditation sta	atus)6
6.4.	Strategic issues and challenges	6
7.	Registration (Quarterly template) – as at 30 September 2016	7
7.1.	Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation:	7
7.2.	What is being done to improve registration?	
7.3.	Competency standards for registration	
7.4.	Policy Outcomes	8
7.5.	Strategic issues and challenges	
7.6.	Comparative Registration Numbers per Calendar Year as at 30 September 2016	
7.7.	Cancellations and De-registration	
7.8.	Applications (Quarterly statistics)	
7.9.	Examinations (statistics)	
7.10.	Recognition of Prior Learning (statistics) - overall	30
8.	Disciplinary and Appeals (quarterly)	
8.1.	Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation	
8.2.	Disciplinary and Appeals Action (Tribunals)	
8.3.	Strategic issues and challenges	31
9.	Recognition of Voluntary Associations (VA) (annually on the fourth quarter) – as at 31	
9.1.	March 2017	
9.2.	Policy outcomes	
9.3.	Strategic issues and challenges	
10.	Continuing Professional Development (CPD) financial year i.e. up to the 31 March 201	
10.1. 10.2.	Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation	
10.2.	Policy outcomes Strategic issues and challenges	
10.5.		
11.	Professional guideline fees (annually on the fourth quarter) i.e. up to the 31 March 201	1733
11.1.	Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation	
11.2.	Strategic issues and challenges	33
11.3.	What is the basis of calculation of fees? Nothing further.	
11.4.	What is the rate of escalation of proposed fees? Nothing further	
11.5.	What are the escalation factors?	
11.6.	What is the cost range for the year?	
11.7.	Strategic issues and challenges	33

12.	Recognition of new categories	
12.1.	Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation	
12.2.	Policy outcomes	
12.3.	Strategic issues and challenges	
13.	Identification of Work in line with the Competition Act	34
13.1.	Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation	
13.2.	Policy outcomes	
13.3.	Strategic issues and challenges	
14.	Standard Generating Body	
14.1.	Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation	
14.2.	Policy outcomes	
14.3.	Strategic issues and challenges	
14.4.	The status of outcomes	
15.	Conclusion	34

1. Introduction

This quarterly report is the third report for the period under review from 1 October 2016 –15 December 2016 for the 2016 / 2017 financial year. It provides a summary of the activities for the third quarter based on the mandates of the Council.

The report has been completed with the information from the specified time frame, as well as the activities that have taken place during the same period.

2. Operations

The following is noted:

- As per the Council ratification in February 2016, the services of the Secretariat, Van der Walt (VDW) to SACLAP still continues. The services relate specifically to accounting and bookkeeping, updating of content on the SACLAP website from time to time, dissemination of information via electronic mailers and the use of the VDW database online platform for the hosting of all register information.
- The Registrar is still in a part-time position and is facing significant strain in terms of meeting all the administrative requirements based on the limited time available. She has tendered her resignation on 6 June 2016 and proposed a termination date of 31 August 2016. Subsequently, at the August 2016 Council meeting, the Registrar agreed to continue with her duties and exit in the course of 2017 once a new Registrar is appointed and operations have been handed over appropriately and are running smoothly. This approach is to ensure a proper handover to the new incumbent.
- As per the above, the assistant to the Registrar has been secured since the signing of the concurrence on the funding from the DPW. She will continue to work six (6) hours a day at this stage, but due to the increasing administration, this may change in 2017.
- There has been no change in the SACLAP Council since the last quarterly report and the associated portfolios remain as follows:

<i>Professionals</i> Barend Smit Thabo Munyai Neal Dunstan Amanda Du Plooy Ilham Gabier	President, Professional Practice Committee member Vice President, Finance Committee member Finance Committee Chair Registration Committee Chair Registration Committee member
llham Gabler Frans van Wyk	Registration Committee member Education Committee Chair
Public Representatives	

Finance Committee member Education Committee member

State RepresentativesGerrit StrydomProfessional Practice Committee ChairOne vacant position that can no longer be filled remains in terms of the StateRepresentative.

- SACLAP is under tremendous pressure to implement mechanisms to improve its financial status due to a significant shortfall to cover the necessary operational costs. For a number of complex reasons (as highlighted below), this is proving to be more challenging than anticipated.
- On the 24 August 2016, SACLAP met with officials of the DPW and it was agreed that a new funding request, to bring about the much needed growth of SACLAP is to be submitted by the end of October 2016 in an effort to secure additional funding for the 2017 2018 year.
- This request was submitted on 3 November 2016. A follow up meeting to discuss the matter was held on the 8th of December 2016 with the relevant DPW officials and an outcome is awaited.

3. Governance

SACLAP has been completing, on a quarterly basis, the reporting that is required by the CBE for its reporting to the Minister of Public Works.

Eugene Hlongwane Gregory Mofokeng The sections that follow provide an overview of how SACLAP is addressing its mandates as stipulated in the Act (The Landscape Architectural Professions Act, Act 45 of 2000). The format of this report is in line with the CBE and the Department of Public Works reporting requirements. It should be noted that, even though the mandates require specific reporting in a specific quarter, SACLAP provides an update of each in every quarter.

The DPW had requested SACLAP to provide a Strategic Plan for the 2015-2020 financial year on committing the funds it provided to the Council. The final Strategic Plan and the budget for the long term financial sustainability of SACLAP were submitted to the DPW on the 03 November 2016 as stated above.

SACLAP will also, in line with the revised Strategic Plan, prepare an Annual Performance Plan for the 2016-2017 year. The Annual Performance Plan for the 2017 – 2018 will be drafted simultaneously so that SACLAP begins to align with the MTSF Framework.

SACLAP continues to monitor itself against the PFMA checklist as well as the Governance Framework as set out by the CBE.

4.1. Highlights/achievements/important mileston No highlights in this quarter.	nes in policy implementa	tion
Due to the financial constraints of the Council,	no further activities were u	undertaken in this regard.
4.2. Policy outcomes		
None to date.		
	SAFETY	
Have there been reported cases of accidents that fall	YES	NO
within your profession?		x
Number of cases reported		none
Type of incidents		n/a
	HEALTH	
Have there been reported cases of adverse health	YES	NO
incidents on workers within your profession?		х
Number of cases reported		none
Type of incidents		n/a
What are the ongoing activities that they undertake to ensure health and safety		n/a
EN	/IRONMENT	
Have there been reported cases regarding the	YES	NO
infringement of environmental legislation and		X
regulations within your profession?		
Number of cases reported		none
Type of incidents		n/a
What are the ongoing activities that they undertake to ensure compliance with environmental legislation		n/a
4.3. Strategic issues and challenges		
Further training and information sharing session	ons need to be arranged fo	or this specific aspect as so
as resources become available.		

5. International recognition of professions (annually on the fourth quarter) i.e. as at the 31 March 2017.									
5.1. Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation Nothing further to report at this stage, This is one of the aspects that this Council term of office may in fact not make any progress on due to other more urgent matters and limited resourcing.									
5.2. Policy outcomes									
Are there international agreements on YES NO									

recognition of qualifications In your profession?		X					
Name and type of agreement	n/a						
5.3. Strategic issues and challenges							

Limited resourcing of SACLAP is stalling the exploration of this specific aspect as a study will need to be done to ascertain if there is an appropriate body that the Council can establish alliances with.

6.1	In lir	Ilights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation ne with the Higher Education Policy, Education Standards and Accreditation Procedure the following ported:
	1	University of Pretoria Review in 2017 SACLAP has commenced with the preparation for the Accreditation visit to the UP which takes place from 27 February - 1 March 2017. The programmes that will be reviewed are the BSc (LArch) NQF 7 and BL (Hons) NQF 8 and ML (Professional) NQF 9.
	2.	University of Cape Town Review in 2017 SACLAP has commenced with the preparation for the Accreditation visit to the UCT from 9 - 10 March 2017. The programmes that will be under review are the MLA Professional NQF 9, as well as the new programmes that are to be introduced namely the BLA (Hons) NQF 8 and MLA (1 year) NQF 9.
	profe	weighted core competency table for the landscape architectural and landscape management essions was gazetted on the 04 November 2016 for implementation. This table sets out the core petencies that are to be attained at graduation and then also at professional registration.
	will r	implementation of the new registration categories, will lead to additional academic programmes that need to be accredited. UNISA and TUT have already expressed an interest in accrediting the feeder grammes to these new registration categories.
		CPUT has applied for the provisional accreditation of two of its new programmes. The National oma will be implemented as of 2017 and the Advanced Diploma in 2020.

6.2. Policy outo	comes (list institutions, qualifica	tions and status)		
Institution	List of all BE related		Status	
	programmes/Qualifications	Accredited	Not Accredited	Reason for non- accreditation
University of Cape Town	Masters Landscape Architecture Professional	Full accreditation		
University of Pretoria	BSc Landscape Architecture BSc Landscape Architecture Honours	Full accreditation		
	Masters Landscape Architecture Professional	Full accreditation		
Cape Peninsula University of Technology	B Tech Landscape Technology (1 year) NQF 7 (phasing out)	Accredited without condition (full accreditation)		
	ND Landscape Technology (3 years) NQF 6 (phasing out)	Accredited without condition (full accreditation)		
	Diploma in Landscape Architecture (NQF 6)	Provisional Accreditation		

		iced Diploma in	Provisional								
		cape Construction	Accreditation								
		gement (NQF 7)									
Tshwane University		Landscape Technology		X	It is anticipated that						
of Technology		Landscape technology		X	this programme will be						
(TUT)		: programmes are being			accredited late 2017 or						
		ctured and as a result			early 2018.						
		alification may undergo									
		ne change.		X	Termination of the						
Durban University		(naming is unclear)		^							
of Technology (DUT)					existing programme and development of a						
					new one. CHE						
					approval for the						
					proposed new						
					programme is awaited.						
UNISA	To be	clarified		X	New programme						
					structure and course						
					content relating to the						
					new registration						
					categories are in the						
					process of being						
					developed.						
6.3. Strategic is	sues ar	nd challenges (programr	ne/qualification pe	er institution ar	nd accreditation status)						
Accreditation procedu	ure or	As referred to in 6.1 abo	ve.								
Criteria											
Composition of		SACLAP does not have an Accreditation Committee; however it has an Education									
Accreditation commit	tee	Committee that deals with all matters relating to education.									
and their names											
		An Accreditation Review Panel is set up for each accreditation visit as and when the									
		need arises and in line with the reviewed Accreditation Procedure.									
Number of non-comp		No non-compliant tertiary education institutions have been identified at this time as									
institutions and remed		SACLAP has been in communication with the Institutions and are awaiting the									
action (including time		completion of the re-curi	riculating process.								
frames)			<i>.</i>								
					larly in light of a number						
		of new programmes that			eeable future. Delays						
		around this have been reported from various Institutions.									
6.4. Strategic is	SURS ar	nd challenges									
-		the accreditation review p	panel remains a cha	Illenge as the in	dividuals are verv busv						
		nd the number of "good of									

7. Registration (Quarterly template) – as at 15 December 2016

7.1. Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation:

Registration Committee:

The Registration Committee continues to meet on a monthly basis. The minutes of these meetings can be made available on request.

Professional Registration Assessment 2016:

As reported in the Second Quarter, SACLAP implemented a new Professional Registration Assessment process since May 2016, which resulted in the exam date and the submissions dates moving later in the year

The summary of outcome of all three sections were presented to the SACLAP EXCO in November 2016 and approved at the same meeting. All individuals were informed of their outcome in writing on the 28 November 2016. A summary of the process and outcome follows below:

- Section 1: Professional Practice was one written exam in July 2016:
 - * From the eleven (11) candidates that wrote the written exam in July 2016, nine (9) passed and (2) two failed.
 - * One candidate viewed her marked exam paper and accepted her outcome for this section.
- Section 2: Landscape Design required the submission of a portfolio of only 3 7 projects in line with core competencies and a separate checklist for each:
 - From the 9 candidates that submitted project checklists for section two (2) at the end of August 2016, six (6) passed and three (3) failed.
 - * One candidate has requested a remark after viewing her evaluated submission.
 - The second candidate viewed his evaluated submission and accepted his outcome.
 - * The third candidate has indicated that she would appeal the result and requested a remark of this section.
- Section 3: Environmental Planning required the submission of a written environmental assignment which integrated all of these related aspects of the core competency table. The same assignment question was presented to the Candidate Landscape Architects and the Candidate Landscape Technologists with different qualifying outcomes:
 - * Of the eleven (11) submissions received at the end of September 2016, all candidates did exceptionally well and passed this section.

As a result of the 2016 Professional Registration Assessment, SACLAP is happy to announce and welcomes six new professionals to the landscape profession.

Registration related Workshops:

Mentor workshops for Landscape Architectural Professionals

SACLAP has over the years, identified a great need to provide training workshops for professionals who act as mentors to candidates in order to improve the throughput of the candidate.

The purpose of the compulsory workshop is to firstly inform the professionals on the amended professional registration process referred to above in this report. Secondly, the workshop aims to familiarise the professionals on the key requirements and deliverables that SACLAP expects from the mentors. A fee, that is to be determined, will be charged for these workshops and CPD points can also be claimed. The respective dates for the workshops planned in 2017 are as follows:

- 27 January 2017 in Kwa Zulu Natal
- 10 February 2017 in Gauteng
- 23 February 2017 in Cape Town
- 9 June 2017 in Gauteng
- 23 June 2017 in Cape Town

Workshop for the Landscape Management Professions

SACLAP will embark on a series of workshops for the Landscape Management Profession. The purpose of the workshop is to explain the process, registration requirements and deadlines for submissions. The sessions are provisionally planned as follows:

- 26 January 2017 in Kwa Zulu Natal
- 3 February 2017 in Gauteng
- 23 February 2017 in Western Cape

Registration Policy:

The Registration Policy and the associated addendums for the registration categories of Landscape Management and Landscape Architectural Professions as well as the Weighted Core Competency Tables for both were gazetted for implementation on the 04 November 2016.

This revised policy makes provision for the following:

- * Guidelines for the registration of compliant individuals in all categories of registration.
- * The roles and responsibilities of candidates and mentors.
- * Sets out the rules relating to the various processes associated with the registration processes.
- * The Addendums for each profession set out profession specific details not covered in the overall Registration Policy.
- * The two Weighted Core Competency Tables provide a clear indication of the variance in competency requirements related to the Landscape Architectural vs. Landscape Management Professions at graduation as well as registration level.
- * These tables have been workshopped extensively with academia and stakeholders over the past few years to ensure the accurate reflection of the uniqueness of each profession.

Registration by Recognition of Prior Learning for 2017 – Landscape Architectural Profession: The Registrar's office has communicated with a few individuals who wish to register via the recognition of prior learning and review process. Provisional dates have been set for interviews in Cape Town and Pretoria in March 2017.

New Registration Categories

The SACLAP will continue to engage with numerous other groups to establish if there are possibilities of professional registration.

7.2. What is being done to improve registration?

The process of undertaking the professional registration assessment has been amended from three written exams for each section to one written exam for Section 1, a project checklist submission for Section 2 and the submission of an environmental assignment for Section 3. The purpose of the revised assessment methodology is to improve the process and to ensure the "value add" required by the competency process.

Based on the outcome from the process in its pilot year, it has been observed to be a much more practical and learning centred approach for the long term development of the individuals.

7.3. Competency standards for registration

A schedule of the core competencies has for the first time since 2011 been amended and gazetted for implementation on 4 November 2016.

7.3.1 Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation

The Registration Policy and the associated addendums for the landscape architectural professions and the landscape management professions were gazetted for implementation on the 04 November 2016.

7.4. Policy Outcomes

Nothing to report at this stage.

Do you have Assessment	Yes.	
procedures/processes?		

Who are the assessors?	The assessors are a committee of volunteers of registered landscape architectural professionals i.e. peers. The group consists of experienced and reputable individuals. The committee has members from the Cape Province as well as from Gauteng, as this is where the greatest number of landscape architectural professionals are concentrated. The names of the committee can be made available on request. A separate panel, based on the same criteria is established for the written examination and the review process. The examination process involves the setting up of the necessary examination paper as well as the requirements for the other sections. In the review process, a panel is constituted to undertake interviews and evaluations of RPL individuals as defined in the Registration Policy.							
	A new committee of volunteers will, in due course be established for the landscape management profession.							
What are the set/agreed standards?	The basis for all assessments is the Core Competency Table that has been developed and amended over the years specific to each category of registration. The candidates are well informed of the criteria and requirements in advance.							
be developed and implemented. This	e expansion of the registration categories, further policies need to is has been a long and time consuming process especially in that it is fair, transparent and robust.							
The resourcing of SACLAP, in terms of the current staff and office infrastructure, means that there will be a potential lag in registering candidates and following up with individuals. This will remain a challenge especially with the new registration categories that was gazetted in November 2016. It is hoped that if the additional funding requested from DPW is granted, then the capacity of the Registrar's Office can be expanded to specifically address this aspect.								
	ng from the recent visa regulations for critical skills is problematic tess requires are a challenge in relation to the registration process. If be appreciated.							

Total number of Professionals on database to date

Please note that the statistics for the disabled professionals are not available at this stage and that this will be made available as soon as the information becomes available.

SACLAP has also requested clarification on the following items from the CBE:

- i. under what classification should Asian (of Chinese descent) individuals be listed, asthey are currently being registered as Indian (in a South African context).
- ii. currently all registered persons are reflected on the database whether they are SA citizens or not, is this correct?.

iii.

The item above has been raised in previous reports, and to date no formal response and/or clarification has been received.

TABLE 1: PROFESSIONAL BLACK LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS

Professional Landscape																								
Architect		1998		1993		1988		1983		1978		1973		1968		1963		1958		1953		1948		
Age Groups	S	15	-19	20	-24	25	-29	30	-34	35	-39	40	-44	45	-49	50·	-54	55	-59	60	-64	65	5+	
Gende	r	М	F	Μ	F	Μ	F	М	F	Μ	F	Μ	F	М	F	Μ	F	М	F	Μ	F	Μ	F	
Eastern Cape	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
Western Cape	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
Northern Cape	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
Free State	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
Gauteng	Black							1																1
	Disabled																							0
Kwa-Zulu Natal	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
Limpopo	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
Mpumalanga	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
Other	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
T = (= 1)	- 1		0		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Totals	s 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1	(0	(0	(0		1	(0	(0	(0	()		0		0	()	1

TABLE 2: PROFESSIONAL WHITE LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS

Professional Landscape																								
Architect		1998		1993		1988		1983		1978		1973		1968		1963		1958		1953		1948		
Age Groups		15	-19	20	-24	25	-29	30	-34	35	-39	40	-44	45	-49	50	-54	55	-59	60	-64	65	5+	
Gender		М	F	Μ	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	Μ	F	М	F	М	F	Μ	F	
Eastern Cape	White													1	1	1						1		
	Disabled																							
	White							3	4	4	5	3	5	2	8	4		2	3	3	2	7	1	
	Disabled																							
	White																							
	Disabled																							
	White																							
	Disabled																							
	White						2	3	8	8	8	8	6	13	6	4	4	4	2	6	1	4		
	Disabled																							
	White										1			1	1		1			2				
	Disabled																							
	White										1			1										
	Disabled																							
	White										1									1				
	Disabled																							
	White																							
	Disabled																							
	White								1		1			2				1						
	Disabled																							
Totals	162						2	6	13	12	17	11	11	20	16	9	5	7	5	12	3	12	1	
	162						2	1	9	2	9	2	22	3	86	1	4	1	2	1	5	1	3	162
				l		İ.						l		l		l		Ì				l		

TABLE 3: PROFESSIONAL INDIAN LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS None at this stage.

Professional Landscape																								
Architect		1998		1993		1988		1983		1978		1973		1968		1963		1958		1953		1948		
Age Groups		15	-19	20	-24	25	-29	30	-34	35	-39	40	-44	45-	-49	50	-54	55	-59	60	-64	6	5+	
Gender		М	F	М	F	М	F	M	F	Μ	F	M	F	Μ	F	Μ	F	М	F	М	F	Μ	F	
Eastern Cape	Coloured																							0
	Disabled																							0
Western Cape	Coloured										1													1
	Disabled																							0
Northern Cape	Coloured																							0
	Disabled																							0
Free State	Coloured																							0
	Disabled																							0
	Coloured																							0
	Disabled																							0
Kwa-Zulu Natal	Coloured																							0
	Disabled																							0
Limpopo	Coloured																							0
	Disabled																							0
Mpumalanga	Coloured																							0
	Disabled																							0
Other	Coloured																							0
	Disabled																							0
Totals	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1	()		0	()		0		1		0	()	()		0		0		0	1
			-		-		-		-		-		-		-		_		-		_		-	

TABLE 4: PROFESSIONAL COLOURED LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS

TABLE 5: PROFESSIONAL BLACK LANDSCAPE TECHNOLOGISTS

Professional																								
LandscapeTechnologist		1998		1993		1988		1983		1978		1973		1968		1963		1958		1953		1948		
Age Groups		15	-19	20-	-24	25	-29	30	-34	35	-39	40	-44	45	-49	50	-54	55	-59	60	-64	65	5+	
Gender		М	F	М	F	Μ	F	М	F	М	F	Μ	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	Μ	F	М	F	
	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
-	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
Gauteng	Black									3														3
	Disabled																							0
Kwa-Zulu Natal	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
Limpopo	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
Other	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
Totals	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
lotais	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	3	(0	()	()	(0	;	3	(0	(0	(0		0		0	()	3
														l		l								

TABLE 6: PROFESSIONAL WHITE LANDSCAPE TECHNOLOGISTS

Professional																								
LandscapeTechnologist		1998		1993		1988		1983		1978		1973		1968		1963		1958		1953		1948		
Age Groups		15	-19	20	-24	25	-29	30	-34	35	-39	40	-44	45	-49	50	-54	55	-59	60	-64	65	5+	
Gender		Μ	F	Μ	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	Μ	F	
Eastern Cape	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Western Cape	White						1																	1
	Disabled																							0
Northern Cape	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Free State	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Gauteng	White					1	1	3			2	1	2											10
	Disabled																							0
Kwa-Zulu Natal	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Limpopo	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Mpumalanga	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Other	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Totals	11	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	0		2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	11	(0	(0	;	3	;	3	:	2	:	3	(0	(0		0		0	()	11

TABLE 7: PROFESSIONAL INDIAN LANDSCAPE TECHNOLOGISTS

Professional																								
LandscapeTechnologist		1998		1993		1988		1983		1978		1973		1968		1963		1958		1953		1948		
Age Groups		15	-19	20-	-24	25	-29	30	-34	35	-39	40	-44	45	-49	50	-54	55	-59	60	-64	6	5+	
Gender		М	F	М	F	Μ	F	Μ	F	Μ	F	М	F	М	F	Μ	F	Μ	F	Μ	F	Μ	F	
•	Indian																							0
	Disabled																							0
Western Cape	Indian										1													1
	Disabled																							0
	Indian																							0
	Disabled																							0
	Indian																							0
	Disabled																							0
Gauteng	Indian																							0
	Disabled																							0
Kwa-Zulu Natal	Indian																							0
	Disabled																							0
	Indian																							0
	Disabled																							0
	Indian																							0
	Disabled																							0
	Indian																							0
	Disabled																							0
Tatala	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Totals	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1	(0	()	(<u> </u>	(0		1		0	()	()	(0	(0		0	1

TABLE 8: PROFESSIONAL COLOURED LANDSCAPE TECHNOLOGISTSNone at this stage.

TABLE 9: PROFESSIONAL BLACK LANDSCAPE TECHNICIANNone at this stage.

TABLE 10: PROFESSIONAL WHITE LANDSCAPE TECHNICIAN

Professional																								
LandscapeTechnicians		1998		1993		1988		1983		1978		1973		1968		1963		1958		1953		1948		
Age Groups		15	-19	20	-24	25	-29	30	-34	35	-39	40	-44	45	-49	50	-54	55	-59	60	-64	6	5+	
Gender		М	F	М	F	М	F	Μ	F	Μ	F	Μ	F	Μ	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	
Eastern Cape	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Western Cape	White												1								1			2
	Disabled																							0
Northern Cape	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Free State	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Gauteng	White								1															1
	Disabled																							0
Kwa-Zulu Natal	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Limpopo	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Mpumalanga	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Other	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
—			0								0						•							
Totals	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
	3	(0		0		0		1	(0		1		0	()	()		1		0	3
	5				-		-				-				-		-				-		-	•

TABLE 11: PROFESSIONAL INDIAN LANDSCAPE TECHNICIAN None at this stage.

TABLE 12: PROFESSIONAL COLOURED LANDSCAPE TECHNICIAN None at this stage.

TABLE 13: PROFESSIONAL BLACK LANDSCAPE ASSISTANTS None at this stage.

TABLE 14: PROFESSIONAL WHITE LANDSCAPE ASSISTANTS

Professional Landscape																								
Assistants		1998		1993		1988		1983		1978		1973		1968		1963		1958		1953		1948		
Age Groups		15	-19	20-	·24	25	-29	30	-34	35	-39	40	-44	45	-49	50	-54	55	-59	60	-64	65	5+	
Gender		Μ	F	М	F	М	F	Μ	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	Μ	F	
Eastern Cape	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Western Cape	White							1																1
	Disabled																							0
Northern Cape	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Free State	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Gauteng	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Kwa-Zulu Natal	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Limpopo	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Mpumalanga	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Other	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Totals	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1		0	()	()		1	(0	(0	(0	(0		0		0	(C	1

TABLE 15: PROFESSIONAL INDIAN LANDSCAPE ASSISTANTSNone at this stage.

TABLE 16: PROFESSIONAL COLOURED LANDSCAPE ASSISTANTS None at this stage.

4.5 Total number of Candidates on database to date

TABLE 17: CANDIDATE BLACK LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS

Candidate Landscape																								
Architect		1998		1993		1988		1983		1978		1973		1968		1963		1958		1953		1948		
Age Groups		15	-19	20	-24	25	-29	30	-34	35	-39	40	-44	45	-49	50-	-54	55	-59	60	-64	6	5+	
Gender		Μ	F	Μ	F	М	F	Μ	F	Μ	F	М	F	М	F	Μ	F	М	F	М	F	Μ	F	
Eastern Cape	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
Western Cape	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
Northern Cape	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
Free State	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
Gauteng	Black							1																1
	Disabled																							
Kwa-Zulu Natal	Black																							1
	Disabled																							
Limpopo	Black																							
	Disabled																							
Mpumalanga	Black																							
	Disabled																							
Other	Black								1															1
	Disabled																							
Totals	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	2	(0		0	()	2	2			(0	(0	()	(0	(0	(0	2

TABLE 18: CANDIDATE WHITE LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS

Candidate Landscape																								
Architect		1998		1993		1988		1983		1978		1973		1968		1963		1958		1953		1948		
Age Groups		15	-19	20	-24	25	-29	30	-34	35	-39	40	-44	45	-49	50-	-54	55	-59	60)-64	6	5+	
Gender		M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	Μ	F	M	F	Μ	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	
Eastern Cape	White																							
	Disabled																							
Western Cape	White					3	1	3	4	2					1		1							15
	Disabled																							
Northern Cape	White																							
	Disabled																							
Free State	White																							
	Disabled																							
Gauteng	White					5	10	2	2				1											20
	Disabled																							
Kwa-Zulu Natal	White						1	1							1									3
	Disabled																							
Limpopo	White																							
	Disabled																							
Mpumalanga	White																							
	Disabled																							
Other	White								1	1														2
	Disabled																							
	10								_						-									
Totals	40	0	0	0	0	8	12	6	7	3	0	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	40		0		0	2	20	1	3		3		1		2		1		0		0		0	40
			-		-				-		-		-		_		-		-		-		-	

TABLE 19: CANDIDATE INDIAN LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS

	1998		1993		1988		1983		1978		1973		1968		1963		1958		1953		1948		
	15	-19	20	-24	25	-29	30	-34	35	-39	40	-44	45	-49	50-	-54	55	-59	60	-64	6	5+	
	Μ	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	Μ	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	
Indian																							0
Disabled																							0
									1														1
Disabled																							0
Indian																							0
Disabled																							0
Indian																							0
Disabled																							0
Indian																							0
Disabled																							0
Indian																							0
Disabled																							0
Indian																							0
																							0
																							0
																							0
																							0
Disabled																							0
										_				_		_				_			
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
1	(<u> </u>	(<u> </u>		0		<u> </u>		1	(<u> </u>	(່ ງ	(່ ງ		0		0		<u>.</u> ງ	1
1																							
	Disabled Indian Disabled Indian Disabled Indian Disabled Indian Disabled	15MIndianDisabledIndianDisabledIndianDisabledIndianDisabledIndianDisabledIndianDisabledIndianDisabledIndianDisabledIndianDisabledIndianDisabledIndianDisabledIndianDisabledIndianDisabled10	MFIndian	15-1920MFMIndianIndianIndianDisabledIndianIndianDisabledIndianIndianDisabledIndianIndianDisabledIndianIndianDisabledIndianIndianDisabledIndianIndianDisabledIndianIndianDisabledIndianIndianDisabledIndianIndianDisabledIndianIndianDisabledIndianIndianDisabledIndianIndianDisabledIndian	15-19 20-24 M F M F Indian Disabled Indian Indian Disabled Indian	15-19 20-24 25 M F M F M Indian Indian Indian Indian Indian Indian Disabled Indian Ind	15-19 20-24 25-29 M F M F M F Indian Indian Indian Indian Indian Indian Disabled Indian Indian Indian Indian Indian Indian Disabled Indian Indian Indian Indian Indian Indian Disabled Indian Indian	15-19 20-24 25-29 30 M F M F M F M Indian Indian Indian Indian Indian Indian Indian Disabled Indian Indian Indian Indian Indian Indian Indian Disabled Indian Indian	15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 M F M F M F M F Indian Indian	15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35 M F M F M F M F M M F M M F M M F M F M F M F M F M F M F M F M F M F M F M F M F M F M F M F M F M Indian Indian	15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 M F Indian Ind	15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 40 M F M	15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 40-44 M F M M M <td>15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 40-44 45 M F<!--</td--><td>15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 40-44 45-49 M F M</td><td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64 66 Indian Image: Construction of the state of the</td><td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td></td>	15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 40-44 45 M F </td <td>15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 40-44 45-49 M F M</td> <td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td> <td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td> <td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td> <td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td> <td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td> <td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td> <td>15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64 66 Indian Image: Construction of the state of the</td> <td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td>	15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 40-44 45-49 M F M	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64 66 Indian Image: Construction of the state of the	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$

TABLE 20: CANDIDATE COLOURED LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS

Candidate Landscape																								
Architect		1998		1993		1988		1983		1978		1973		1968		1963		1958		1953		1948		
Age Group	S	15	-19	20	-24	25	-29	30	-34	35	-39	40	-44	45	-49	50	-54	55	-59	60	-64	6	5+	
Gende	r	Μ	F	Μ	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	Μ	F	
Eastern Cape	Coloured																							0
	Disabled																							0
Western Cape	Coloured								2				1											3
	Disabled																							0
Northern Cape	Coloured																							0
	Disabled																							0
Free State	Coloured																							0
	Disabled																							0
Gauteng	Coloured																							0
	Disabled																							0
Kwa-Zulu Natal	Coloured																							0
	Disabled																							0
Limpopo	Coloured																							0
	Disabled																							0
Mpumalanga	Coloured																							0
	Disabled																							0
Other	Coloured																							0
	Disabled																							0
T-4-1			0		0		0		2		0		4		0		0		0	0	0		0	
Total	s 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	3	(0		0		0		2	(0		1	(0	()	(0	(0	()	3
	-		-		-		-				-				-		-		-		-		-	

TABLE 21: CANDIDATE BLACK LANDSCAPE TECHNOLOGISTS

Candidate																								
LandscapeTechnologist		1998		1993	5	1988		1983		1978		1973		1968		1963		1958	1	1953		1948		
Age Group		15	-19	20)-24	25	-29	30	-34	35	-39	40	-44	45	-49	50	-54	55	-59	60	-64	6	5+	
Gende	er	М	F	М	F	Μ	F	Μ	F	Μ	F	Μ	F	Μ	F	Μ	F	Μ	F	Μ	F	М	F	
Eastern Cape	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
Western Cape	Black					1																		1
	Disabled																							0
Northern Cape	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
Free State	Black								1															1
	Disabled																							0
Gauteng	Black				4		1	2	1			1												9
	Disabled																							0
Kwa-Zulu Natal	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
Limpopo	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
Mpumalanga	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
North West	Black						1																	1
	Disabled			1																				0
Other	Black						1																	1
	Disabled																							0
Tota	s 13	0	0	0	4	1	3	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	13	(0		4		4		4	()		1	()	()	(0		0		0	13
					-		-		-					`							<u> </u>			

TABLE 22: CANDIDATE WHITE LANDSCAPE TECHNOLOGISTS

Candidate																								
LandscapeTechnologist		1998		1993		1988		1983		1978		1973		1968		1963		1958		1953		1948		
Age Groups		15	-19	20	-24	25	-29	30	-34	35	-39	40-	-44	45	-49	50-	-54	55	-59	60	-64	6	5+	
Gender		М	F	М	F	Μ	F	Μ	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	Μ	F	
Eastern Cape	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Western Cape	White						1												1					2
	Disabled																							0
Northern Cape	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Free State	White					1																		1
	Disabled																							0
Gauteng	White			1		1	2	2	1			1	1											9
	Disabled																							0
Kwa-Zulu Natal	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Limpopo	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Mpumalanga	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Other	White			1					1					1										3
	Disabled																							0
	. –		-				-	_	-		-				-						-		-	
Totals	15	0	0	2	0	2	3	2	2	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
	15	(0		2	Ę	5	4	4	()	2	2	-	1	()		1	()	()	15
																<u> </u>								

TABLE 23: CANDIDATE INDIAN LANDSCAPE TECHNOLOGISTS

Candidate																								
LandscapeTechnologist		1998		1993		1988		1983		1978		1973		1968		1963		1958		1953		1948		
Age Groups		15	-19	20	-24	25	-29	30	-34	35	-39	40	-44	45	-49	50	-54	55	-59	60	-64	6	5+	
Gender		М	F	М	F	Μ	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	Μ	F	Μ	F	М	F	
Eastern Cape	Indian																							0
	Disabled																							0
Western Cape	Indian																							0
	Disabled																							0
Northern Cape	Indian																							0
	Disabled																							0
Free State	Indian																							0
	Disabled																							0
Gauteng	Indian																							0
	Disabled																							0
Kwa-Zulu Natal	Indian													1										1
	Disabled																							0
Limpopo	Indian																							0
	Disabled																							0
Mpumalanga	Indian																							0
	Disabled																							0
Other	Indian																							0
	Disabled																							0
Totals	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Iotais	1	0	U	0	U	0	U	0	U	0	U	0	0		U	0	0	0	U	0	U	0	U	
	1	(0		0		0	(0	(0	(0		1	(<u> </u>		0		0	(<u> </u>	1

TABLE 24: CANDIDATE COLOURED LANDSCAPE TECHNOLOGISTSNone at this stage.

TABLE 25: CANDIDATE BLACK LANDSCAPE TECHNICIAN

Candidate																								
LandscapeTechnicians		1998		1993		1988		1983		1978		1973		1968		1963		1958		1953		1948		
Age Group	s	15	-19	20	-24	25	-29	30	-34	35	-39	40	-44	45	-49	50	-54	55	-59	60	-64	6	5+	
Gende	r	М	F	М	F	Μ	F	М	F	Μ	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	
Eastern Cape	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
Western Cape	Black							1																1
	Disabled																							0
Northern Cape	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
Free State	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
Gauteng	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
Kwa-Zulu Natal	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
Limpopo	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
Mpumalanga	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
Other	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
T	c 1		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Total	S 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1	(0		0		0		1		0	()		0	()		0		0))	1
			-		-		-				-				-	Ì			-		-		-	•

TABLE 26: CANDIDATE WHITE LANDSCAPE TECHNICIAN

Candidate																								
LandscapeTechnicians		1998		1993		1988		1983		1978		1973		1968		1963		1958		1953		1948		
Age Groups		15	-19	20	-24	25	-29	30	-34	35	-39	40	-44	45	-49	50-	-54	55	-59	60	-64	6	5+	
Gender		М	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	Μ	F	М	F	М	F	Μ	F	М	F	Μ	F	М	F	
Eastern Cape	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Western Cape	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Northern Cape	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Free State	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Gauteng	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Kwa-Zulu Natal	White													1										1
	Disabled																							0
Limpopo	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Mpumalanga	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Other	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Totals	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1	(0	(0	()	(0		0	())		1	()		0		0	())	1
				1														1						ĺ

TABLE 27: CANDIDATE INDIAN LANDSCAPE TECHNICIANNone at this stage.

TABLE 28: CANDIDATE COLOURED LANDSCAPE TECHNICIANNone at this stage.

TABLE 29: CANDIDATE BLACK LANDSCAPE ASSISTANTNone at this stage.

TABLE 30: CANDIDATE WHITE LANDSCAPE ASSISTANT None at this stage.

TABLE 31: CANDIDATE INDIAN LANDSCAPE ASSISTANT None at this stage.

TABLE 32: CANDIDATE COLOURED LANDSCAPE ASSISTANT None at this stage.

31 March of each year	30 Mar 2008	30 Mar 2009	30 Mar 2010	30 Mar 2011	30 Mar 2012	30 Mar 2013	30 Mar 2014	30 Mar 2015	31 Mar 2016	30 Jun 2016	30 Sep 2016	15 Dec 2016
Professional Landscape Architect	104	114	122	126	133	145	152	156	160	161	158	164
Candidate Landscape Architect	23	18	34	34	34	32	38	40	44	49	49	46
Professional Landscape Architectural Technologist	2	2	4	5	9	8	11	11	15	13	14	15
Candidate Landscape Architectural Technologist	4	8	8	17	16	24	24	26	26	31	32	29
Professional Landscape Architectural Technician	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	3	3	3	3
Candidate Landscape Architectural Technician	0	8	3	5	6	1	1	1	1	2	2	2
Professional Landscape Architectural Assistant	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
Candidate Landscape Architectural Assistant	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0
Total No. of Professionals	106	116	126	131	142	154	167	171	179	178	176	183
Total No. of Candidates	27	34	45	56	56	57	63	67	71	82	83	77

7.6. Comparative Registration Numbers per Calendar Year as at <u>15 December 2016</u>

7.7. Cancellations and De-registration	Total
Deceased	8 – in total over all the years
Non-Practising	
Retired	6 – in total over all the years
Suspensions	3 – in total due to either non-payment of fees or issues relating to CPD submissions
Resigned	12 – in total over all the years
Removed(due to default)	88 – in total (de-registered professionals and withdrawn candidates over all the years)
7.8. Applications (Quarterly statistics)	Total
Total number of Applications received (from 1 Oct 2016 – 15 December 2016)	0
Number of Applications rejected	0
Number of Applications accepted	0
7.9. Examinations (statistics)	
Number of persons eligible for professional registration assessment process for 2016	16 eligible for 2016.
Number passed	 Section 1: From the eleven candidates that wrote the written exam in July 2016, nine passed.
	 Section 2: Nine candidates submitted and six passed. Section 3: Eleven submitted and al passed.
Number failed	Section 1: two failed.
	• Section 2: three failed.
7.10. Recognition of Prior Learning (statistics) -	Total (cumulative)
overall Number of professionals registered through RPL	The outcome of the process that started in March 2016 has resulted in the registration of one professional landscape architect, one professional landscape technologist, four candidate landscape architects and one candidate landscape technician.
	SACLAP has five potential applicants for the last quarter of the financial year i.e. assessment will take place early 2017. Provisional dates have been set up.
Percentage of registered professionals through RPL	5% of all on the database
Number of people who applied for registration through RPL	8 in the course of the 2016/2017 financial year
Number of RPL applications that had been rejected	0

8. Disciplinary and Appeals (quarterly)

8.1. Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation Nothing to report at this stage.

8.2. Disciplinary and	d Appeals Action (Tribunals)		
	ĆOMPLAINT	ſS	
Number of Complaints received and their nature	Completed	What is the average time from date of lodgement to date of finalisation?	In-progress
0	0	N/A	N/A
Number of complaints withdrawn	0		
Complaints finalised within stipulated time period	0		
	APPEALS		
Number of appeals received and their nature	SACLAP has received two appeals emanating from the 2016 Professional Registration Assessment Process.	quarter of this fin	
	With regards to the Registration by Review/RPL process in 2016, there were two grievances raised.	Council in Augus	vere presented to the t 2016, and the Council nmendation from the review
Appeals finalised within stipulated time period	N/A		
Number of appeals withdrawn	N/A		
Disciplinary and Appeals procedure	N/A		
Composition of the committee	No specific committee has been put in p of misconduct as no such processes are The Registration Committee is overseein individual or an independent review pan	e required at this st	age. appeals and appoints an
	s and challenges nt and composition of the Investigation Co taken. This will be done as and when a ne		of misconduct has to date

9. Recognition of Voluntary Associations (VA) (annually on the fourth quarter) - as at 31 March 2017

9.1. Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation

The final policy was gazetted for implemen	tation as of 6 May 2016.
9.2. Policy outcomes	
Number of Voluntary Associations recognised	1
Number of Voluntary Associations not recognised	Potentially 2
9.3. Strategic issues and challenges	

The aspect of transformation is problematic. The current VA does not consider this at the moment and the potential new VAs are having a challenge quantifying the demographic and racial spread of the professions they represent.

SACLAP will continue to engage with the potential VAs to ensure that the challenges are addressed.

10.1. Highlights/achievements/impo The amended policy was gazette				
The amended policy was gazette	ea for implement	itation as of 6 Ma	iy 2016.	
The review of the five year cycle end of June 2016. Subsequently				
10.2. Policy outcomes				
Percentage of registered professionals that comply with CPD requirements (in terms of the original submissions and cut off dates)? SACLAP interprets comply to mean that	Professional Landscape Architect (Quarterly report)	Professional Landscape Technologist	Professional Landscape Technician	Professional Landscape Assistant
the CPD was received and completed to a level of accuracy where it could be reviewed.	100%	100%	100%	100%
How does Professional Council deal with professional who do not comply?	delay in terms In addition, so year to accum	of the submission of the submi	on date. s were given an e so as not to jeop	as given if there was a extension of an extra pardise their ability of s per policy.
Is the CPD policy mandatory or Voluntary?	Mandatory, as registration rei		year cycle linke	d to professional
What is CPD being used for?	It is used to gr	ant registration r	enewal.	
Monitoring and Implementation challenges	new CPD polic This was a rec align with. SAC	cy which calls for quirement in the (annual submiss CBE framework to find mechanism	fully operationalise the ions of CPD points. hat SACLAP had to ns to deal with this as 017.

The VA has been delegated the function of CPD and is not in all instances applying the CPD points consistently to activities as per the policy. It is hoped that through the amendments to the existing policy and the registration of VA's, the matter will be addressed.

11. Professional guideline fees (annually on the fourth quarter) i.e. up to the 31 March 2017

- 11.1. Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation
 - The CBE issued a media statement on behalf of all BEPCs in September 2016.

11.2. Strategic issues and challenges

Nothing further as the way forward regarding the appeal through the CBE is awaited.

11.3. What is the basis of calculation of fees? Nothing further.

11.4. What is the rate of escalation of proposed fees? Nothing further.

11.5. What are the escalation factors?

The Council has not gazetted guideline professional fees since April 2013.

11.6. What is the cost range for the year? Unknown.

11.7. Strategic issues and challenges

The fact that the CBE together with all others involved in resolving this matter, is not taking this forward is problematic. No directives have been issued to Public Entities regarding this matter and it is beginning to cause confusion within the ambit of tendering for public work.

12. Recognition of new categories

12.1. Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation

- The following was gazetted for implementation on the 04 November 2016:
 - The Registration Policy.
 - The Addendum for the Landscape Architectural Professions.
 - The Addendum for the Landscape Management Professions.
 - The Weighted Core Competency Table for the Landscape Architectural Professions.
 - The Weighted Core Competency Table for the Landscape Management Professions.

12.2. Policy outcomes		
Are there new categories that need	Yes	
recognition?		
Name and type of categories		
,, °	LANDSCAPE MANAGEMENT	LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE
	Prof. Landscape Manager	Prof. Landscape Architect
	Prof. Landscape Senior Technologist	Prof. Landscape Architectural Senior Technologist
	Prof. Landscape Technologist	Prof. Landscape Architectural Technologist
	Prof. Landscape Technician	Prof. Landscape Architectural Technician

12.3. Strategic issues and challenges

SACLAP will be implementing extensive training session with the assessors of the new disciplines. This is a resourcing conundrum for the Council that is already under resourced. Creative ways of overcoming this aspect will need to be explored.

13. Identification of Work in line with the Competition Act

13.1. Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation

13.2. Policy outcomes None.

13.3. Strategic issues and challenges

As per 11.7, SACLAP is very concerned that there has been no further progress since the outcome was received in January 2016.

14. Standard Generating Body

14.1. Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation

SACLAP is aware that the SGB's for all the levels have not been completed. This is to be followed up with the CBE.

14.2. Policy outcomes

14.3. Strategic issues and challenges

14.4. The status of outcomes

Qualifications Title	NQF Level	Credits	NQF Status	SGB status
Will complete this in more detail when the information becomes available.			E.g, whole gualification registered or not submitted yet	

15. Conclusion

SACLAP would like to thank the DPW for the continued assistance to the Council as well as for the second contribution in line with the three year funds that were committed to SACLAP.

The challenge facing SACLAP currently remains its financial sustainability. An updated budget in line with the Strategic Plan, which aims to increase the base of registered persons and therefore requires the expansion of the Registrar's Office, was submitted to the DPW on the 3 November 2016. If the funds requested, are not received in the next financial year, SACLAP will not be in a position to fulfil what is set out in the Strategic Plan, nor meet its future sustainability and ultimately its mandate.