



**SOUTH AFRICAN COUNCIL  
FOR THE  
LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURAL PROFESSION**

[www:saclap.org.za](http://www.saclap.org.za)

[registrar@saclap.org.za](mailto:registrar@saclap.org.za)

**QUARTERLY REPORT**

**No. 1 from April to June 2016**

**FOR THE  
SOUTH AFRICAN COUNCIL FOR THE  
LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURAL PROFESSION  
(SACLAP)**

**15 July 2016**

**Prepared by:**

Ms Bernadette Vollmer - Registrar

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1.</b>	<b>Introduction</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>2.</b>	<b>Operations</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>3.</b>	<b>Governance</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>4.</b>	<b>Safety, Health and Environment (SHE) (annually on the fourth quarter) i.e. as at the 31 March 2016.</b> .....	<b>4</b>
4.1.	Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation .....	4
4.2.	Policy outcomes .....	4
4.3.	Strategic issues and challenges.....	4
<b>5.</b>	<b>International recognition of professions (annually on the fourth quarter) i.e. as at the 31 March 2016.</b> .....	<b>4</b>
5.1.	Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation .....	4
5.2.	Policy outcomes .....	4
5.3.	Strategic issues and challenges.....	5
<b>6.</b>	<b>Accreditation (annually on the fourth quarter) – as at as at 31 March 2016</b> .....	<b>5</b>
6.1.	Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation .....	5
6.2.	Policy outcomes (list institutions, qualifications and status) .....	5
6.3.	Strategic issues and challenges (programme/qualification per institution and accreditation status).....	6
6.4.	Strategic issues and challenges.....	6
6.5.	Total number of Professionals on database to date .....	6
6.6.	Comparative registration numbers per calendar year as at 31 March 2016.....	25
6.7.	Cancellations and De-registration .....	26
6.8.	Applications (Quarterly statistics).....	26
6.9.	Examinations (statistics) .....	26
6.10.	Recognition of Prior Learning (statistics) - overall .....	26
<b>7.</b>	<b>Disciplinary and Appeals (quarterly)</b> .....	<b>27</b>
7.1.	Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation .....	27
7.2.	Disciplinary and Appeals Action (Tribunals) .....	27
7.3.	Strategic issues and challenges.....	27
<b>8.</b>	<b>Recognition of Voluntary Associations (VA) (annually on the fourth quarter) – as at 31 March 2016</b> .....	<b>28</b>
8.1.	Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation .....	28
8.2.	Policy outcomes .....	28
8.3.	Strategic issues and challenges.....	28
<b>9.</b>	<b>Continuing Professional Development (CPD) financial year i.e. up to the 31 March 2016.</b> .....	<b>28</b>
9.1.	Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation .....	28
9.2.	Policy outcomes .....	28
9.3.	Strategic issues and challenges.....	28
<b>10.</b>	<b>Professional guideline fees (annually on the fourth quarter) i.e. up to the 31 March 2016</b> .....	<b>29</b>
10.1.	Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation .....	29
10.2.	Strategic issues and challenges.....	29
10.3.	What is the basis of calculation of fees? Fees are generally increased as per general industry increases. ....	29
10.4.	What is the rate of escalation of proposed fees? On average it has been between 8 – 10% year on year.....	29
10.5.	What are the escalation factors?.....	29
10.6.	What is the cost range for the year? .....	29
10.7.	Strategic issues and challenges.....	29
<b>11.</b>	<b>Recognition of new categories</b> .....	<b>29</b>

11.1.	Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation .....	29
11.2.	Policy outcomes .....	29
11.3.	Strategic issues and challenges.....	30
<b>12.</b>	<b>Identification of Work in line with the Competition Act.....</b>	<b>30</b>
12.1.	Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation .....	30
12.2.	Policy outcomes .....	30
12.3.	Strategic issues and challenges.....	30
<b>13.</b>	<b>Standard Generating Body .....</b>	<b>30</b>
13.1.	Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation .....	30
13.2.	Policy outcomes .....	30
13.3.	Strategic issues and challenges.....	30
13.4.	The status of outcomes .....	30
<b>14.</b>	<b>Conclusion .....</b>	<b>30</b>

## 1. Introduction

This quarterly report is the first report for the period under review from 1 April 2016 – 30 June 2016 for the 2016 / 2017 financial year. It provides a summary of the activities for the first quarter based on the mandates of the Council.

The report has been completed with the information that was available during the specific time frame, as well as the activities that have taken place during the same period.

## 2. Operations

The following is noted:

- As per the Council ratification in February 2016, the services of the Secretariat, Van der Walt (VDW), still continues, based on the agreed reduced scope of services which relates to basic bookkeeping and website updating.
- The Registrar is still in a part-time position and is facing significant strain in terms of meeting all the administrative requirements based on the limited time available. She has tendered her resignation on 6 June 2016 and proposed a termination date of 31 August 2016. This has however been re-negotiated to an exit strategy which will see her conclude her duties as Registrar on 15 December 2016.
- As per the above, the assistant to the Registrar has been secured since the signing of the concurrence on the funding from the DPW. She will continue to work 6 hours a day at this stage.
- There has been no change in the SACLAP Council since the last quarterly report and the associated portfolios remain as follows:

### *Professionals*

Barend Smit	President
Thabo Munyai	Vice President
Neal Dunstan	Finance Committee Chair
Amanda Du Plooy	Registration Committee Chair
Ilham Gabier	Registration Committee member
Frans van Wyk	Education Committee Chair

### *Public Representatives*

Eugene Hlongwane	
Gregory Mofokeng	Education Committee member

### *State Representatives*

Gerrit Strydom	Professional Practice Committee Chair
One vacant position that can no longer be filled remains in terms of the State Representative.	

- SACLAP is under tremendous pressure to implement mechanisms to improve its financial status due to a significant shortfall to cover the necessary operational costs. For a number of complex reasons (as highlighted below), this is proving to be more challenging than anticipated.

## 3. Governance

SACLAP has been completing, on a quarterly basis, the reporting that is required by the CBE for its reporting to the Minister of Public Works.

The sections that follow provide an overview of how SACLAP is addressing its mandates as stipulated in the Act. The format of this section is as agreed and in line with the CBE and the Department of Public Works reporting requirements. It should be noted that even through the mandates require specific reporting in a specific quarter, SACLAP provides an update of each in every quarter.

The DPW had requested SACLAP to provide a Strategic Plan for the 2015-2016 financial year on committing the funds it provided to the Council. The Strategic Plan was required by the end of March 2016.

SACLAP has requested an extension on the deadline for deliverables as there was a delay in the transfer of funds from DPW which resulted in a build-up of a backlog in the administrative work. Confirmation of the extension has not yet been received but SACLAP aims to have completed the amendments to the Strategic Plan and the Annual Performance Plan by the end of the second quarter of this financial year.

SACLAP complies with the PFMA checklist as well as the Governance Framework as set out by the CBE.

<b>4. Safety, Health and Environment (SHE) (annually on the fourth quarter) i.e. as at the 31 March 2017.</b>		
<b>4.1. Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation</b> No highlights in this quarter.  Due to the financial constraints of the Council, no further activities were undertaken in this regard.		
<b>4.2. Policy outcomes</b> None to date.		
<b>SAFETY</b>		
Have there been reported cases of accidents that fall within your profession?	YES	NO
		x
Number of cases reported	none	
Type of incidents	n/a	
<b>HEALTH</b>		
Have there been reported cases of adverse health incidents on workers within your profession?	YES	NO
		x
Number of cases reported	none	
Type of incidents	n/a	
What are the ongoing activities that they undertake to ensure health and safety	n/a	
<b>ENVIRONMENT</b>		
Have there been reported cases regarding the infringement of environmental legislation and regulations within your profession?	YES	NO
		x
Number of cases reported	none	
Type of incidents	n/a	
What are the ongoing activities that they undertake to ensure compliance with environmental legislation	n/a	
<b>4.3. Strategic issues and challenges</b> Further training and information sharing sessions need to be arranged for this specific aspect as soon as resources etc. become available.		

<b>5. International recognition of professions (annually on the fourth quarter) i.e. as at the 31 March 2017.</b>		
<b>5.1. Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation</b> At the Strategic Planning session held in February 2014, the new Council agreed that this is an aspect that is to be explored in its term of office.  Nothing further to report at this stage, This is one of the aspects that this Council terms of office may in fact not make any progress on due to other more urgent matters and limited resourcing		
<b>5.2. Policy outcomes</b>		
Are there international agreements on recognition of qualifications In your profession?	YES	NO
		x
Name and type of agreement	n/a	

### 5.3. Strategic issues and challenges

Limited resourcing of SACLAP is stalling the exploration of this specific aspect.

## 6. Accreditation (annually on the fourth quarter) – as at as at 31 March 2017

### 6.1. Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation

The amended policy was already gazetted in March 2016 for implementation and according to this the accreditation visits will be undertaken.

#### Accreditation Visits

1. Cape Peninsula University of Technology Review in April 2016  
The CPUT Accreditation visit took place on the 20 and 21 April 2016 successfully at the Bellville Campus in the Western Cape. SACLAP is happy to inform that the National Diploma Landscape Technology (3 years) NQF 6, and B Tech Landscape Technology (1 year) NQF 7 programmes at CPUT were granted full accreditation without condition. Provisional accreditation was also given to the new proposed programmes that will be offered as of 2017 and 2018 respectively.
2. University of Pretoria Review in 2017  
SACLAP has commenced on the preparation for the Accreditation visit to the UP which takes place from 28 February to 2 March 2017. The programmes that will be reviewed are the BSc (LArch) NQF 7 and BL (Hons) NQF 8 and ML (Professional) NQF 9.
3. University of Cape Town Review in 2017  
SACLAP has commenced with the preparation for the Accreditation visit to the UCT from 9 -10 March 2017. The programmes that will be under review are the MLA Professional NQF 9, as well as the new programmes that are to be introduced namely the BLA (Hons) NQF 8 and MLA (1 year) NQF 9.

### 6.2. Policy outcomes (list institutions, qualifications and status)

Institution	List of all BE related programmes/Qualifications	Status		
		Accredited	Not Accredited	Reason for non-accreditation
University of Cape Town	Masters Landscape Architecture Professional	Full accreditation		
University of Pretoria	BSc Landscape Architecture BSc Landscape Architecture Honours Masters Landscape Architecture Professional	Full accreditation  Full accreditation		
Cape Peninsula University of Technology	BTech Landscape Technology  NDip Landscape Technology <i>NOTE: programmes are being restructured and as a result the qualification may undergo a name change</i>	accredited without condition (full accreditation).		
Tshwane University of Technology (TUT)	BTech Landscape Technology NDip Landscape technology <i>NOTE: programmes are being restructured and as a result the qualification may undergo a name change.</i>		X X	New programme structure and course content
Durban University of Technology (DUT)	NDip (naming is unclear)		X	Termination of the existing programme and development of a new one

UNISA	To be clarified		X	New programme structure and course content relating to the new registration categories
<b>6.3. Strategic issues and challenges (programme/qualification per institution and accreditation status)</b>				
<b>Name of Institution</b>	<b>List all programmes/qualifications per institution</b>	<b>Accredited and Type of accreditation (provisional, conditional, fully accredited)</b>		<b>Not-accredited</b>
Accreditation procedure or Criteria	As referred to in 6.1 above.			
Composition of Accreditation committee and their names	SACLAP does not have an Accreditation Committee, however it has an Education Committee that deals with all matters relating to education.  An Accreditation Review Panel is set up for each accreditation visit as and when the need arises.			
Number of non-compliant institutions and remedial action (including time frames)	No non-compliant tertiary education institutions have been identified at this time as SACLAP has been in communication with the Institutions and are awaiting the completion of the re-curriculating process.  The way forward is in the process of being resolved, particularly in light of a number of new programmes that are anticipated to start in the foreseeable future. Delays around this have been reported from various Institutions.			
<b>6.4. Strategic issues and challenges</b>				
<p>A major challenge with accreditation in general, is sourcing appropriately skilled and experienced practitioners who have the time to undertake the accreditation visits to the tertiary education institutions.</p> <p>Potential feeder programmes of the registration categories needs to be updated and expanded to address the anticipated potential challenges regarding alignment with the SACLAP Core Competency Table and subsequently, accreditation. The academic institutions listed above have been part of this process and have endorsed the progress by the Education committee thus far. The final draft will be made available as soon as possible.</p>				

#### 6.5. Total number of Professionals on database to date

Please note that the statistics for the disabled professionals are not available at this stage and that this will be made available as soon as the information becomes available.

SACLAP has also requested clarification on the following items from the CBE:

- i. under what classification should Asian (of Chinese descent) individuals be listed- they are currently being registered as Indian (in a South African context)
- ii. currently all registered persons are reflected on the database – whether they are SA citizens or not, is this correct? SACLAP, in the absence of guidance from the CBE has allocated these individuals in the “other” geographical regions.
- iii. for the transformation statistics – how is the Council to deal with black individuals that are not SA citizens but that have SA ID books

The item above has been raised in previous reports, and to date no formal response and/or clarification has been received.

**TABLE 1: PROFESSIONAL BLACK LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS**  
None at this stage.

**TABLE 2: PROFESSIONAL WHITE LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS**

Professional Landscape Architect	Age Groups Gender	1998		1993		1988		1983		1978		1973		1968		1963		1958		1953		1948		
		15-19		20-24		25-29		30-34		35-39		40-44		45-49		50-54		55-59		60-64		65+		
		M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	
Eastern Cape	White													1	1	1							1	
	Disabled																							
Western Cape	White							3	3	4	6	3	5	2	8	4		2	3	3	2	7	1	
	Disabled																							
Northern Cape	White																							
	Disabled																							
Free State	White																							
	Disabled																							
Gauteng	White					1	2	8	8	8	8	6	13	6	4	4	4	2	5	1	4			
	Disabled																							
Kwa-Zulu Natal	White									1			1	1		1			2					
	Disabled																							
Limpopo	White									1			1											
	Disabled																							
Mpumalanga	White									1									1					
	Disabled																							
North West	White																							
	Disabled																							
Other	White							1		1			2				1	1						
	Disabled																							
Totals	160					1	5	12	12	18	11	11	20	16	9	5	7	6	11	3	12	1		
	160					1	17	30	22	36	14	13	14	13	14	13	14	13	13	13	13	13	160	



**TABLE 3: PROFESSIONAL INDIAN LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS**  
None at this stage.

**TABLE 4: PROFESSIONAL COLOURED LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS**

Professional Landscape Architect	Age Groups Gender	1998		1993		1988		1983		1978		1973		1968		1963		1958		1953		1948		
		15-19		20-24		25-29		30-34		35-39		40-44		45-49		50-54		55-59		60-64		65+		
		M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	
Eastern Cape	Coloured																							0
	Disabled																							0
Western Cape	Coloured										1												1	
	Disabled																						0	
Northern Cape	Coloured																						0	
	Disabled																						0	
Free State	Coloured																						0	
	Disabled																						0	
Gauteng	Coloured																						0	
	Disabled																						0	
Kwa-Zulu Natal	Coloured																						0	
	Disabled																						0	
Limpopo	Coloured																						0	
	Disabled																						0	
Mpumalanga	Coloured																						0	
	Disabled																						0	
Other	Coloured																						0	
	Disabled																						0	
<b>Totals</b>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1	0		0		0		0		1		0		0		0		0		0		0		1

**TABLE 5: PROFESSIONAL BLACK LANDSCAPE TECHNOLOGISTS**

Professional LandscapeTechnologist	Age Groups Gender	1998		1993		1988		1983		1978		1973		1968		1963		1958		1953		1948		
		15-19		20-24		25-29		30-34		35-39		40-44		45-49		50-54		55-59		60-64		65+		
		M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	
<b>Eastern Cape</b>	Black																							0
	Disabled																							
<b>Western Cape</b>	Black																							0
	Disabled																							
<b>Northern Cape</b>	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
<b>Free State</b>	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
<b>Gauteng</b>	Black							1	0	3	0													4
	Disabled																							0
<b>Kwa-Zulu Natal</b>	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
<b>Limpopo</b>	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
<b>Mpumalanga</b>	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
<b>Other</b>	Black																							0
	Disabled																							0
<b>Totals</b>	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4

**TABLE 6: PROFESSIONAL WHITE LANDSCAPE TECHNOLOGISTS**

Professional LandscapeTechnologist	Age Groups	1998		1993		1988		1983		1978		1973		1968		1963		1958		1953		1948		
		15-19		20-24		25-29		30-34		35-39		40-44		45-49		50-54		55-59		60-64		65+		
		M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	
Eastern Cape	White																						0	
	Disabled																							0
Western Cape	White						1																1	
	Disabled																							0
Northern Cape	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Free State	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Gauteng	White							3			2		2											7
	Disabled																							0
Kwa-Zulu Natal	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Limpopo	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Mpumalanga	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Other	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
<b>Totals</b>	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	3	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	11	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	0	5	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	

**TABLE 7: PROFESSIONAL INDIAN LANDSCAPE TECHNOLOGISTS**

Professional LandscapeTechnologist	Age Groups	Gender	1998		1993		1988		1983		1978		1973		1968		1963		1958		1953		1948		
			15-19		20-24		25-29		30-34		35-39		40-44		45-49		50-54		55-59		60-64		65+		
			M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	
Eastern Cape	Indian																							0	
	Disabled																								0
Western Cape	Indian										1														1
	Disabled																								0
Northern Cape	Indian																								0
	Disabled																								0
Free State	Indian																								0
	Disabled																								0
Gauteng	Indian																								0
	Disabled																								0
Kwa-Zulu Natal	Indian																								0
	Disabled																								0
Limpopo	Indian																								0
	Disabled																								0
Mpumalanga	Indian																								0
	Disabled																								0
Other	Indian																								0
	Disabled																								0
<b>Totals</b>		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

**TABLE 8: PROFESSIONAL COLOURED LANDSCAPE TECHNOLOGISTS**  
None at this stage.

**TABLE 9: PROFESSIONAL BLACK LANDSCAPE TECHNICIAN**  
None at this stage.

**TABLE 10: PROFESSIONAL WHITE LANDSCAPE TECHNICIAN**

Professional LandscapeTechnicians	Age Groups Gender	1998		1993		1988		1983		1978		1973		1968		1963		1958		1953		1948			
		15-19		20-24		25-29		30-34		35-39		40-44		45-49		50-54		55-59		60-64		65+			
		M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F		
Eastern Cape	White																							0	
	Disabled																								0
Western Cape	White											1									1				2
	Disabled																								0
Northern Cape	White																								0
	Disabled																								0
Free State	White																								0
	Disabled																								0
Gauteng	White								1																1
	Disabled																								0
Kwa-Zulu Natal	White																								0
	Disabled																								0
Limpopo	White																								0
	Disabled																								0
Mpumalanga	White																								0
	Disabled																								0
Other	White																								0
	Disabled																								0
<b>Totals</b>	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0		
	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0				3	

**TABLE 11: PROFESSIONAL INDIAN LANDSCAPE TECHNICIAN**  
None at this stage.

**TABLE 12: PROFESSIONAL COLOURED LANDSCAPE TECHNICIAN**  
None at this stage.

**TABLE 13: PROFESSIONAL BLACK LANDSCAPE ASSISTANTS**  
None at this stage.

**TABLE 14: PROFESSIONAL WHITE LANDSCAPE ASSISTANTS**

Professional Landscape Assistants		1998		1993		1988		1983		1978		1973		1968		1963		1958		1953		1948		
Age Groups		15-19		20-24		25-29		30-34		35-39		40-44		45-49		50-54		55-59		60-64		65+		
Gender		M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	
Eastern Cape	White																							0
	Disabled																							
Western Cape	White							1																1
	Disabled																							0
Northern Cape	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Free State	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Gauteng	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Kwa-Zulu Natal	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Limpopo	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Mpumalanga	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
Other	White																							0
	Disabled																							0
<b>Totals</b>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

**TABLE 15: PROFESSIONAL INDIAN LANDSCAPE ASSISTANTS**  
None at this stage.

**TABLE 16: PROFESSIONAL COLOURED LANDSCAPE ASSISTANTS**  
None at this stage.

4.5 Total number of Candidates on database to date

TABLE 17: CANDIDATE BLACK LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS

Candidate Landscape Architect		1998		1993		1988		1983		1978		1973		1968		1963		1958		1953		1948				
		Age Groups		15-19		20-24		25-29		30-34		35-39		40-44		45-49		50-54		55-59		60-64			65+	
		Gender		M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F		M	F
Eastern Cape	Black																							0		
	Disabled																								0	
Western Cape	Black																								0	
	Disabled																								0	
Northern Cape	Black																								0	
	Disabled																								0	
Free State	Black																								0	
	Disabled																								0	
Gauteng	Black							1																	1	
	Disabled																									
Kwa-Zulu Natal	Black																									
	Disabled																									
Limpopo	Black																									
	Disabled																									
Mpumalanga	Black																									
	Disabled																									
Other	Black								1																1	
	Disabled																									
Totals	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2		



**TABLE 18: CANDIDATE WHITE LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS**

Candidate Landscape Architect	Age Groups	1998		1993		1988		1983		1978		1973		1968		1963		1958		1953		1948		
		15-19		20-24		25-29		30-34		35-39		40-44		45-49		50-54		55-59		60-64		65+		
		M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	
Eastern Cape	White																							
	Disabled																							
Western Cape	White					3	1	3	5	2				1		1								16
	Disabled																							
Northern Cape	White																							
	Disabled																							
Free State	White																							
	Disabled																							
Gauteng	White					5	11	3	2			1												22
	Disabled																							
Kwa-Zulu Natal	White						1	1						1										3
	Disabled																							
Limpopo	White																							
	Disabled																							
Mpumalanga	White																							
	Disabled																							
Other	White								1	1														2
	Disabled																							
<b>Totals</b>	43	0	0	0	0	8	13	7	8	3	0	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	43	0		0		21		15		3		1		2		1		0		0		0		43

**TABLE 19: CANDIDATE INDIAN LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS**

Candidate Landscape Architect	Age Groups	Gender	1998		1993		1988		1983		1978		1973		1968		1963		1958		1953		1948			
			15-19		20-24		25-29		30-34		35-39		40-44		45-49		50-54		55-59		60-64		65+			
			M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F		
Eastern Cape	Indian																								0	
	Disabled																									0
Western Cape	Indian									1																1
	Disabled																									0
Northern Cape	Indian																									0
	Disabled																									0
Free State	Indian																									0
	Disabled																									0
Gauteng	Indian																									0
	Disabled																									0
Kwa-Zulu Natal	Indian																									0
	Disabled																									0
Limpopo	Indian																									0
	Disabled																									0
Mpumalanga	Indian																									0
	Disabled																									0
Other	Indian																									0
	Disabled																									0
<b>Totals</b>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1	0		0		0		0		1		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		1

**TABLE 20: CANDIDATE COLOURED LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS**

Candidate Landscape Architect	Age Groups	1998		1993		1988		1983		1978		1973		1968		1963		1958		1953		1948			
		15-19		20-24		25-29		30-34		35-39		40-44		45-49		50-54		55-59		60-64		65+			
		M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F		
Eastern Cape	Coloured																							0	
	Disabled																								0
Western Cape	Coloured								2				1												3
	Disabled																								0
Northern Cape	Coloured																								0
	Disabled																								0
Free State	Coloured																								0
	Disabled																								0
Gauteng	Coloured																								0
	Disabled																								0
Kwa-Zulu Natal	Coloured																								0
	Disabled																								0
Limpopo	Coloured																								0
	Disabled																								0
Mpumalanga	Coloured																								0
	Disabled																								0
Other	Coloured																								0
	Disabled																								0
<b>Totals</b>	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	3	0		0		0		2		0		1		0		0		0		0		0		3	

**TABLE 21: CANDIDATE BLACK LANDSCAPE TECHNOLOGISTS**

Candidate LandscapeTechnologist	Age Groups Gender	1998		1993		1988		1983		1978		1973		1968		1963		1958		1953		1948			
		15-19		20-24		25-29		30-34		35-39		40-44		45-49		50-54		55-59		60-64		65+			
		M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F		
Eastern Cape	Black																							0	
	Disabled																								0
Western Cape	Black					1																		1	
	Disabled																								0
Northern Cape	Black																								0
	Disabled																								0
Free State	Black								1																1
	Disabled																								0
Gauteng	Black			4		1	2	1			1														9
	Disabled																								0
Kwa-Zulu Natal	Black																								0
	Disabled																								0
Limpopo	Black																								0
	Disabled																								0
Mpumalanga	Black																								0
	Disabled																								0
North West	Black					1																			1
	Disabled																								0
Other	Black					1																			1
	Disabled																								0
<b>Totals</b>	13	0	0	0	4	1	3	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	13	0		4		4		4		0		1		0		0		0		0		0		13	

**TABLE 22: CANDIDATE WHITE LANDSCAPE TECHNOLOGISTS**

Candidate LandscapeTechnologist	Age Groups Gender	1998		1993		1988		1983		1978		1973		1968		1963		1958		1953		1948			
		15-19		20-24		25-29		30-34		35-39		40-44		45-49		50-54		55-59		60-64		65+			
		M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F		
Eastern Cape	White																							0	
	Disabled																								0
Western Cape	White						1												1						2
	Disabled																								0
Northern Cape	White																								0
	Disabled																								0
Free State	White						1																		1
	Disabled																								0
Gauteng	White			1		2	3	2				1	1												10
	Disabled																								0
Kwa-Zulu Natal	White						1																		1
	Disabled																								0
Limpopo	White																								0
	Disabled																								0
Mpumalanga	White																								0
	Disabled																								0
Other	White			1				1						1											3
	Disabled																								0
<b>Totals</b>	17	0	0	2	0	3	5	2	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0		
	17	0		2		8		3		0		2		1		0		1		0		0		17	

**TABLE 23: CANDIDATE INDIAN LANDSCAPE TECHNOLOGISTS**

Candidate LandscapeTechnologist	Age Groups Gender	1998		1993		1988		1983		1978		1973		1968		1963		1958		1953		1948			
		15-19		20-24		25-29		30-34		35-39		40-44		45-49		50-54		55-59		60-64		65+			
		M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F		
Eastern Cape	Indian																							0	
	Disabled																								0
Western Cape	Indian																								0
	Disabled																								0
Northern Cape	Indian																								0
	Disabled																								0
Free State	Indian																								0
	Disabled																								0
Gauteng	Indian																								0
	Disabled																								0
Kwa-Zulu Natal	Indian														1										1
	Disabled																								0
Limpopo	Indian																								0
	Disabled																								0
Mpumalanga	Indian																								0
	Disabled																								0
Other	Indian																								0
	Disabled																								0
<b>Totals</b>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1	0		0		0		0		0		0		1		0		0		0		0		1	

**TABLE 24: CANDIDATE COLOURED LANDSCAPE TECHNOLOGISTS**  
None at this stage.

**TABLE 25: CANDIDATE BLACK LANDSCAPE TECHNICIAN**

Candidate LandscapeTechnicians	Age Groups Gender	1998		1993		1988		1983		1978		1973		1968		1963		1958		1953		1948			
		15-19		20-24		25-29		30-34		35-39		40-44		45-49		50-54		55-59		60-64		65+			
		M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F		
Eastern Cape	Black																							0	
	Disabled																								0
Western Cape	Black							1																1	
	Disabled																								0
Northern Cape	Black																								0
	Disabled																								0
Free State	Black																								0
	Disabled																								0
Gauteng	Black																								0
	Disabled																								0
Kwa-Zulu Natal	Black																								0
	Disabled																								0
Limpopo	Black																								0
	Disabled																								0
Mpumalanga	Black																								0
	Disabled																								0
Other	Black																								0
	Disabled																								0
<b>Totals</b>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	1	0		0		0		1		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		1	

**TABLE 26: CANDIDATE WHITE LANDSCAPE TECHNICIAN**

Candidate LandscapeTechnicians	Age Groups Gender	1998		1993		1988		1983		1978		1973		1968		1963		1958		1953		1948			
		15-19		20-24		25-29		30-34		35-39		40-44		45-49		50-54		55-59		60-64		65+			
		M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F		
Eastern Cape	White																							0	
	Disabled																								0
Western Cape	White																								0
	Disabled																								0
Northern Cape	White																								0
	Disabled																								0
Free State	White																								0
	Disabled																								0
Gauteng	White																								0
	Disabled																								0
Kwa-Zulu Natal	White														1										1
	Disabled																								0
Limpopo	White																								0
	Disabled																								0
Mpumalanga	White																								0
	Disabled																								0
Other	White																								0
	Disabled																								0
<b>Totals</b>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1	0		0		0		0		0		0		1		0		0		0		0		1	

**TABLE 27: CANDIDATE INDIAN LANDSCAPE TECHNICIAN**

None at this stage.

**TABLE 28: CANDIDATE COLOURED LANDSCAPE TECHNICIAN**

None at this stage.

**TABLE 29: CANDIDATE BLACK LANDSCAPE ASSISTANT**

None at this stage.



**TABLE 30: CANDIDATE WHITE LANDSCAPE ASSISTANT**  
None at this stage.

**TABLE 31: CANDIDATE INDIAN LANDSCAPE ASSISTANT**  
None at this stage.

**TABLE 32: CANDIDATE COLOURED LANDSCAPE ASSISTANT**  
None at this stage.

**6.6. COMPARATIVE REGISTRATION NUMBERS PER CALENDAR YEAR as at 30 June 2016**

<b>31 March of each year</b>	<b>30 Mar 2008</b>	<b>30 Mar 2009</b>	<b>30 Mar 2010</b>	<b>30 Mar 2011</b>	<b>30 Mar 2012</b>	<b>30 Mar 2013</b>	<b>30 Mar 2014</b>	<b>30 Mar 2015</b>	<b>31 Mar 2016</b>	<b>30 Jun 2016</b>
Professional Landscape Architect	104	114	122	126	133	145	152	156	160	161
Candidate Landscape Architect	23	18	34	34	34	32	38	40	44	49
Professional Landscape Architectural Technologist	2	2	4	5	9	8	11	11	15	13
Candidate Landscape Architectural Technologist	4	8	8	17	16	24	24	26	26	31
Professional Landscape Architectural Technician	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	3	3
Candidate Landscape Architectural Technician	0	8	3	5	6	1	1	1	1	2
Professional Landscape Architectural Assistant	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
Candidate Landscape Architectural Assistant	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
<b>Total No. of Professionals</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>167</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>179</b>	<b>178</b>
<b>Total No. of Candidates</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>82</b>

<b>6.7. Cancellations and De-registration</b>	<b>Total</b>
Deceased	8 – in total over all the years
Non-Practising	
Retired	6 – in total over all the years
Suspensions	4 – in total due to either non-payment of fees or issues relating to CPD submissions
Resigned	12 – in total over all the years
Removed( due to default)	88 – in total (de-registered professionals and withdrawn candidates over all the years)
<b>6.8. Applications (Quarterly statistics)</b>	<b>Total</b>
Total number of Applications received (from 01 April 2016 – 30 June 2016 )	12
Number of Applications rejected	0
Number of Applications accepted	12
<b>6.9. Examinations (statistics)</b>	
Number of persons eligible for professional registration assessment process for 2016	16 eligible for 2016 and 1 withdrawn. Results to be confirmed by December 2016.
Number passed	To be confirmed in December 2016
Number failed	To be confirmed in December 2016.
<b>6.10. Recognition of Prior Learning (statistics) - overall</b>	<b>Total (cumulative)</b>
Number of professionals registered through RPL	. The outcome has resulted in the registration of 1 professional landscape architect, 1 professional landscape technologist, 4 candidate landscape architects and one candidate landscape technician. There are 2 appeals currently in progress.
Percentage of registered professionals through RPL	5% of all on the database
Number of people who applied for registration through RPL	8 in the course of the 2015/2016 financial year
Number of RPL applications that had been rejected	0

<b>7. Disciplinary and Appeals (quarterly)</b>			
<b>7.1. Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation</b> Nothing to report at this stage.			
<b>7.2. Disciplinary and Appeals Action (Tribunals)</b>			
<b>COMPLAINTS</b>			
<b>Number of Complaints received and their nature</b>	<b>Completed</b>	<b>What is the average time from date of lodgement to date of finalisation?</b>	<b>In-progress</b>
0	0	N/A	N/A
<b>Number of complaints withdrawn</b>	0		
<b>Complaints finalised within stipulated time period</b>	0		
<b>APPEALS</b>			
<b>Number of appeals received and their nature</b>	N/A		
<b>Appeals finalised within stipulated time period</b>	N/A		
<b>Number of appeals withdrawn</b>	N/A		
<b>Disciplinary and Appeals procedure</b>	N/A		
<b>Composition of the committee</b>	<p>No specific committee has been put in place for the disciplinary and appeals in terms of misconduct as no such processes are required at this stage.</p> <p>The Registration Committee is overseeing the registration appeals and appoints review panels on an ad hoc basis.</p>		
<b>7.3. Strategic issues and challenges</b> The appointment and composition of the Investigation Committee in terms of misconduct has to date not been undertaken. This will be done as and when a need arises.			

<b>8. Recognition of Voluntary Associations (VA) (annually on the fourth quarter) – as at 31 March 2017</b>	
<b>8.1. Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation</b> The final policy was gazetted for implementation from 6 May 2016.	
<b>8.2. Policy outcomes</b>	
Number of Voluntary Associations recognised	1
Number of Voluntary Associations not recognised	Potentially 2
<b>8.3. Strategic issues and challenges</b> The aspect of transformation is problematic. The current VA does not consider this at the moment and the potential new VAs are having a challenge quantifying the demographic and racial spread of the professions they represent.  SACLAP will continue to engage with the potential VAs to ensure that the challenges are overcome.	

<b>9. Continuing Professional Development (CPD) financial year i.e. up to the 31 March 2017</b>				
<b>9.1. Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation</b> The amended policy was gazetted for implementation from 6 May 2016.				
<b>9.2. Policy outcomes</b>				
Percentage of registered professionals that comply with CPD requirements (in terms of the original submissions and cut off dates)?	Professional Landscape Architect (Quarterly report)	Professional Landscape Technologist	Professional Landscape Technician	Professional Landscape Assistant
	98%	98%	100%	100%
How does Professional Council deal with professional who do not comply?	To date all have complied although leniency was given if there was a delay in terms of the submission date. In addition, some professionals were given an extension of an extra year to accumulate their points so as not to jeopardise their ability of retaining their professional registration status as per policy.			
Is the CPD policy mandatory or Voluntary?	Mandatory, as it is part of the 5 year cycle linked to professional registration renewal.			
What is CPD being used for?	It is used to grant registration renewal.			
Monitoring and Implementation challenges	SACLAP does not have the current capacity to fully operationalise the new CPD policy which calls for annual submissions of CPD points. This was a requirement in the CBE framework that SACLAP had to align with. SACLAP will have to find mechanisms to deal with this as the first annual submission is in March 2017.			
<b>9.3. Strategic issues and challenges</b> The VA has been delegated the function of CPD and is not in all instances applying the CPD points consistently to activities as per the policy. It is hoped that through the amendments to the existing policy and the registration of VA's that this will be addressed.				

<b>10. Professional guideline fees (annually on the fourth quarter) i.e. up to the 31 March 2017</b>	
<b>10.1. Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation</b>	SACLAP attended a meeting in April at the CBE in which the legal team appointed by the CBE advised the Councils on the way forward. SACLAP will participate in this process as far as possible.
<b>10.2. Strategic issues and challenges</b>	Nothing further as the outcome of the appeal process
<b>10.3. What is the basis of calculation of fees?</b>	Nothing further.
<b>10.4. What is the rate of escalation of proposed fees?</b>	Nothing further
<b>10.5. What are the escalation factors?</b>	Council has not gazetted guideline professional fees since April 2013.
<b>10.6. What is the cost range for the year?</b>	Unknown
<b>10.7. Strategic issues and challenges</b>	As per 10.2.

<b>11. Recognition of new categories</b>							
<b>11.1. Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation</b>							
<p>The Registration Policy was amended to be more generic and discipline specific addendums are in the process of being established.</p> <p>The Registration Policy was gazetted for comment together with the Landscape Management addendum on 27 May 2016. The commenting period closed on 1 July 2017.</p>							
<b>11.2. Policy outcomes</b>							
Are there new categories that need recognition?	Yes						
Name and type of categories	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>LANDSCAPE MANAGEMENT</th> <th>LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Prof. Landscape Manager</td> <td>Prof. Landscape Architect</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Prof. Landscape Senior Technologist</td> <td>Prof. Landscape Architectural Senior Technologist</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>After an extensive stakeholder engagement process, it was agreed that the new discipline would be called Landscape Management. This is currently in the process of being finalised. The expected competencies related to the various registration categories have been published for public comment and very few comments were received and this process is currently being finalised.:</p>	LANDSCAPE MANAGEMENT	LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE	Prof. Landscape Manager	Prof. Landscape Architect	Prof. Landscape Senior Technologist	Prof. Landscape Architectural Senior Technologist
LANDSCAPE MANAGEMENT	LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE						
Prof. Landscape Manager	Prof. Landscape Architect						
Prof. Landscape Senior Technologist	Prof. Landscape Architectural Senior Technologist						

	Prof. Landscape Technologist	Prof. Landscape Architectural Technologist
	Prof. Landscape Technician	Prof. Landscape Architectural Technician
<b>11.3. Strategic issues and challenges</b> As the finalisation of the new registration categories draws to a conclusion, SACLAP is to undertake an extensive training session with the assessors of the new disciplines. This is a real resourcing conundrum for the Council that is already under resourced. Creative ways of overcoming this aspect will need to be explored.		

<b>12. Identification of Work in line with the Competition Act</b>				
<b>12.1. Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation</b> A per 10.1.				
<b>12.2. Policy outcomes</b> None.				
<b>12.3. Strategic issues and challenges</b> In terms of the proposed way forward, there will be a need for specialist input and dedicated resources from SACLAP. Currently this capacity is not available – not in the form of an individual nor the financial means.				

<b>13. Standard Generating Body</b>				
<b>13.1. Highlights/achievements/important milestones in policy implementation</b> SACLAP is aware that the SGB's for all the levels have not been completed. This is to be followed up with the CBE for the relevant authorities.				
<b>13.2. Policy outcomes</b>				
<b>13.3. Strategic issues and challenges</b>				
<b>13.4. The status of outcomes</b>				
<b>Qualifications Title</b>	<b>NQF Level</b>	<b>Credits</b>	<b>NQF Status</b>	<b>SGB status</b>
Will complete this in more detail when the information becomes available.			<b><u>E.g. whole qualification registered or not submitted yet</u></b>	

#### 14. Conclusion

The major challenge facing SACLAP currently remains its financial sustainability, this despite the funds that were received from the DPW in February 2016.

The DPW has notified SACLAP that it is due for the second phase of funding that was committed to in 2015. However, SACLAP has to deliver on the stipulations as set out by the DPW. Given the current limited resources and capacity of the Registrar's office, the deliverables are rather onerous.

Sustainability however remains an issue, for as long as SACLAP does not increase the numbers of the registered persons, it will not be able to implement the full mandate of the Act.